IN THE MATTER OF the Utilities Commission Act, SBC 1980, c. 60

and

IN THE MATTER OF an Application by Princeton Light and Power Company, Limited

Decision

September 22, 1981

TABLE OF CONTENTS

																					Page
APPE	ARANCES		•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	٠	9	•	٠	٠	٠	*	9	٠	(i)
LIST	OF EXHIBITS.		٠	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	*	*	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	٠		•	*	(ii)
Ι.	BACKGROUND .	, ,	•	•	٠	•	•	*	,	*	4	٠	٠	•	٠	•	٠	٠	٠		1
II.	DECISION			٠		,		•		٠	*	•	*	*	•	•	•		•	*	2
ORDE	R																				
SCHE	DULES																				

The Application of Princeton Light and Power Company,
Limited dated April 14, 1981 for reconsideration and variance
of the March 24, 1981 Commission Decision arising out of the
January 21, 1981 public hearing of Princeton Light and Power's
October 31, 1980 Rate Application was heard in public at
Princeton, British Columbia on Friday, July 24, 1981.

The Division of the Commission which heard the Application was comprised of M. Taylor, Chairman, and J.D.V. Newlands, Commissioner.

APPEARANCES

M. MOSELEY

Commission Counsel

D.H. BELL-IRVING

For Applicant, Princeton Light and Power Company,

Limited

HEARING SECRETARY

A.C. Michelson

COMMISSION STAFF

R.J. Fletcher W.J. Krampl

COURT REPORTER

Allwest Reporting Ltd.

LIST OF EXHIBITS

	Exhibit No.
Affidavit of Publication of Notice of Public Hearing	1
Annual Report to the Commission by Princeton Light and Power Company, Limited for the year ending March 31, 1981	2
Princeton Light and Power Company, Limited - Financial Statements, March 31, 1981	3
Princeton Light and Power Company, Limited - Forecast Statement of Earned Return for year ending 31 March 1982 (Exhibit A, Pages 1 through 3)	4
Princeton Light and Power Company, Limited - Forecast Statement of Earned Return for year ending 31 March 1982 (Exhibit B, Pages 1 through 3)	5
Extract from Agreement between Princeton Light and Power Company, Limited, and West Kootenay Power and Light Company, Limited pertaining to Princeton Light Purchases of Electric Power	6
Princeton Light and Power Company, Limited - Calculations re Weyerhaeuser Accounts for June, July and August, 1981	7

PRINCETON LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY, LIMITED Decision dated September 22, 1981

I. BACKGROUND

The Rate Application of Princeton Light and Power Company, Limited ("Princeton Light and Power") dated October 31, 1980, was heard in public in Princeton, British Columbia on January 21, 1981 and decided by the Commission on March 24, 1981.

By letter dated April 14, 1981 Princeton Light and Power, pursuant to Section 114(1), applied for reconsideration and variance of the aforementioned Decision.

The Commission, by Order No. G-37-81 dated June 5, 1981, set down the Decision for reconsideration and variance to be heard in Princeton, British Columbia commencing at 9:00 a.m. on July 24, 1981.

Two days prior to the commencement of the hearing Princeton Light and Power filed additional material in support of their request which sought additional relief over and above that which was sought in the Application heard on January 21, 1981.

The Commission appreciates that from time to time circumstances require Applications to be amended. In these circumstances, however, the Commission does not believe it is in the public interest to consider additional relief over and above that originally requested, excepting an increase in the rate of interest on bank loans. Accordingly, the Commission has only considered those matters which arose as a result of the original Application.

II. DECISION

The Commission has considered the evidence given by Mr. David Bonner, C.A., the Company's financial advisor, as well as the evidence given by Mr. Graham Gould, General Manager.

The Commission believes that circumstances have changed since the time of the initial decision, with particular regard to interest rates and the state of financial markets in general. The Commission appreciates the difficulties existing in the financial markets for all utilities and recognizes that these problems are even more difficult for small privately-owned utilities such as Princeton Light and Power.

Accordingly, the Commission has adjusted the interest rate for bank loans to 20% from the 15% allowed initially and has increased the return on equity from 16% to 17%. In addition, as shown on Notes to Schedule IV (C), common share equity is now deemed to be 40% of the capital structure, up from 35%. These adjustments increase the revenue requirement by approximately \$22,100 as shown on Schedule II (C).

In the Decision dated March 24, 1981, the Commission ordered that the difference between the deemed rate of interest on bank loans and the actual cost be recorded in a deferred rate base account. As at September 1, 1981 the rate of 20% should be used when determining the above described difference. A monthly entry should be made to record the difference.

The Applicant requested that the treatment of capitalization of overhead costs, amortization of hearing costs and contributions in aid of construction be considered by the Commission and dealt with on a basis no less favourable to the Company than the original submission made by the Applicant.

After considering the arguments, the Commission has decided that the treatment of these items will not be changed from the March 24, 1981 Decision.

With regard to the additional relief sought over and above the initial Application the Commission would recommend the Applicant reconsider this need and if warranted would suggest an Application be made for interim relief. As part of this new Application consideration should be given to requesting a change from flow-through to normalized income tax, which would have the impact of increasing the internal generation of cash to the extent of the difference between accounting income and taxable income multiplied by the applicable income tax rate.

In closing, the Commission would observe that the Company has depressed net income in its 1981 audited statements by writing off the full amount of the rate case expense. If the three year amortization treatment as ordered in the March 24, 1981 Decision had been followed, net income for 1981 would be significantly improved.

The Commission would encourage Princeton Light and Power to take the necessary steps to reduce rate hearing costs dramatically and would be pleased to work with the Company in this regard.

DATED at the City of Vancouver, in the Province of British Columbia, this 22nd day of September, 1981.

M. Taylor, Chadrman

J/D/V. Newlands, Commissioner

Forecast Statement of Earned Return for the year ending March 31, 1982

	Per Decision Schedule II(B)	Adjustments	Final Balance
Sales			
Residential Commercial Industrial - primary - secondary Street lights	\$ 460,117 336,123 362,734 60,982 13,137	(a) \$ 8,259 (a) 6,033 (a) 6,511 (a) 1,094 (a) 236	\$ 468,376 342,156 369,245 62,076 13,373
Less discounts	1,233,093 107,392	22,133 1,928	1,255,226 109,320
Other Income	1,125,701 16,000	20,205	1,145,906 16,000
Expenses	1,141,701 947,453	20,205	1,161,906 947,453
Utility income before income taxes Income taxes	194,248 36,856	20,205 (b) 3,482	214,453 40,338
Earned Return	<u>\$ 157,392</u>	(c) <u>\$16,723</u>	<u>\$ 174,115</u>
Depreciated Rate Base	\$1,601,135		\$1,601,135
Rate of Return on Rate Base	<u>9.83%</u>		10.87%

PRINCETON LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY, LIMITED Notes to Schedule II(C)

- (a) Pro-ration of additional revenue and adjustment to customer discounts.
- (b) Adjust income taxes to \$40,338 (see Schedule III(C)).
- (c) Adjustment required to increase earned return to \$174,115 (see Schedule IV (C)).

Forecast Statement of Earned Return for the year ending March 31, 1982

		Per Decision Schedule III(B)	Adjustm	Final ents Balance						
Utility in income	ncome before taxes	\$194,248	\$20,20	<u>\$214,453</u>						
Add: -Deprecia	tion tion of rate	59,563		59,563						
hearing o		19,060	Maria Maria gilawang, na ngampatan ang maria sa tang s	19,060						
		78,623		78,623						
Deduct: -Capital allowance		57 , 657		57,657						
-Interest o debt -Interest o	on long term on bank loan capitalized	15,750 25,557 28,227	5,03	15,750						
-Removal o		1,500		1,500						
		128,691	5,03	1 133,722						
Taxable I	ncome	\$144,180	<u>\$159,354</u>							
Tax there	Tax thereon:									
Federal:	\$159,354 @46% Less S.B.D	21% x \$150,000 Rebate - 10%	\$31,500 15,935	\$73,303 <u>47,</u> 435						
			And the second s	25,868						
	Surtax - 5% x	\$25,868 x <u>275</u> 365								
		300		974						
Provincia	1. ¢150 000 8	0 0.	32.000	26,842 13,496						
FIOVINCIA.	1: \$150,000 @ 9,354 @		12,000 1,496							
				<u>\$40,338</u>						

Forecast Capital Structure for the year ending March 31, 1982

		Amount	Capitali- zation	Embedded Cost	Cost Component	Return
Long term debt debenture	\$	150,000	9.37%	10.50%	.98%	\$ 15,750
Customer advances	5	14,746	.92%	-010 8 6	6098	2000
Contributions in aid of construction	-	432,994	27.04%	_	***	. stem
Bank loan	(b)	152,941	9.55%	(c) 20.00%	1.91%	30,588
Preferred shares 9% Class B		210,000	13.12%	9.00%	1.18%	18,900
Common share equity	(a)_	640,454	40.00%	(d) <u>17.00%</u>	6.80%	108,877
	<u>\$1</u>	,601,135	100.00%		10.87%	\$174,115

Notes to Schedule IV(C)

- capital οĘ 408 to be Common share equity deemed structure. (a)
- structure capital balance to loan bank Adjustment of to rate base. (P)
- 20% to from 15% loan increased on bank Interest (c)
- たり share equity increased from 16% common Return on 178. (g)



G-73-81

PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

BRITISH COLUMBIA UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF the Utilities Commission Act, SBC 1980, c. $60\,$

and

IN THE MATTER OF an Application by Princeton Light and Power Company, Limited

BEFORE:

M. Taylor,)
Chairman; and)
J.D.V. Newlands,) September 22 , 1981
Commissioner)

ORDER

WHEREAS Princeton Light and Power Company, Limited ("Princeton Light") applied April 14, 1981, pursuant to Section 114(1) of the Act, for reconsideration and variance of the Commission's Decision dated March 24, 1981; and

WHEREAS Commission Order No. G-37-81 assented to a hearing to consider the matter; and

WHEREAS a public hearing of Princeton Light's Application was heard at Princeton, B.C. on July 24, 1981; and

WHEREAS the Commission has considered the Application and the evidence adduced thereon and finds that due to changed circumstances with particular regard to the increased level of interest rates, an increase in the annual gross revenue requirement is justified, all as set forth in a Decision issued concurrently with this Order.

NOW THEREFORE the Commission hereby orders

Princeton Light and Power Company, Limited as follows:

 The rate base for Princeton Light for the test periods are unchanged from the Decision dated March 24, 1981.

...2/

- The total cost of service including the return on capital employed for the test period ending March 31, 1982 is \$1,255,226.
- 3. The Commission will accept for filing effective with consumption on and after September 1, 1981, subject to timely filing, amended tariff rate schedules which will permit Princeton Light to generate the annual gross revenue requirement of \$1,255,226 as set out in Schedule II(C) of the Commission Decision dated September 22, 1981, augmented by .106 cents/kwh to permit recovery of the cost of increased water fees passed on by West Kootenay Power and Light Company, Limited.

DATED at the City of Vancouver, in the Province of British Columbia, this 22 day of September, 1981.

BY ORDER

(Ayla)

Chairman