



**ORDER NUMBER**  
**G-239-24**

IN THE MATTER OF  
the *Utilities Commission Act*, RSBC 1996, Chapter 473

and

Plateau Pipe Line Ltd. and Pembina Pipeline Corporation  
Complaint filed by Tidewater Midstream and Infrastructure Ltd.  
Regarding the Western Pipeline System (Northern Segment)

**BEFORE:**

B. A. Magnan, Panel Chair  
E. A. Brown, Commissioner  
T. A. Loski, Commissioner

on September 5, 2024

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS:**

- A. On May 21, 2024, Tidewater Midstream and Infrastructure Ltd. (Tidewater) filed a complaint (Complaint) regarding Plateau Pipe Line Ltd., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Pembina Pipeline Corporation (Plateau) and common carrier service on the northern segment of the western pipeline system (Western System);
- B. By Orders G-146-24, G-153-24, and G-168-24, dated May 22, 2024, May 31, 2024, and June 20, 2024, respectively, the BCUC established and furthered a regulatory timetable for review of the Complaint. The regulatory timetable included, amongst other things, public notice, BCUC information requests, and further process to be determined; and
- C. The BCUC considers that establishment of a further regulatory timetable to allow for final and reply argument is warranted.

**NOW THEREFORE** the BCUC establishes a further regulatory timetable, as set out in Appendix A to this order.

**DATED** at the City of Vancouver, in the Province of British Columbia, this 5<sup>th</sup> day of September 2024.

BY ORDER

*Original signed by:*

B. A. Magnan  
Commissioner

Plateau Pipe Line Ltd. and Pembina Pipeline Corporation  
Complaint filed by Tidewater Midstream and Infrastructure Ltd.  
Regarding the Western Pipeline System (Northern Segment)

REGULATORY TIMETABLE

---

Action	Date (2024)
Tidewater and Plateau final argument*	Friday, September 20
Tidewater and Plateau reply argument	Friday, September 27

\* The Panel requests that parties address the following matters in their final argument:

1. The BCUC's authority to exercise its jurisdiction under sections 65(6) or 99 of the *Utilities Commission Act* to vary Order G-89-22.
  - a. If the BCUC were to vary Order G-89-22, the variances that are warranted.
2. The BCUC's authority to adjudicate whether the costs invoiced to Tidewater with respect to the South Taylor Hill upgrade project (e.g., contingency) are in accordance with the Letter Agreement.
  - a. The reasonableness of Plateau including a 20 percent contingency due to creditworthiness concerns in the amounts invoiced to Tidewater with respect to the South Taylor Hill upgrade project.